

ON QUASI-CONTRACTIVITY OF C_0 -SEMIGROUPS ON BANACH SPACES

MÁTÉ MATOLCSI

ABSTRACT. A basic result in semigroup theory states that every C_0 -semigroup is quasi-contractive with respect to some appropriately chosen equivalent norm. This paper contains a counterpart of this well-known fact. Namely, by examining the convergence of the Trotter-type formula $(e^{\frac{t}{n}A}P)^n$ (where P denotes a bounded projection), we prove that whenever the generator A is unbounded it is possible to introduce an equivalent norm on the space with respect to which the semigroup is *not* quasi-contractive.

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 47A05, 47D06

1. INTRODUCTION

Many important results in semigroup theory rely on the simple fact that for a given C_0 -semigroup e^{tA} on a Banach space X it is always possible to introduce an equivalent norm on X with respect to which e^{tA} is quasi-contractive. While examining the convergence of the Trotter-type formula

$$(1) \quad (e^{\frac{t}{n}A}P)^n$$

(see [5]), the author was led to the natural question of whether it is always possible to introduce an equivalent norm on X with respect to which e^{tA} is *not* quasi-contractive. This is clearly not possible if the generator A is bounded. However, if A is unbounded then it is natural to expect that such a norm does exist. Indeed, in [5], Theorem 2, the Hilbert space version of this question was settled: assuming that A is unbounded an equivalent *scalar product* (not merely a norm) was constructed with respect to which e^{tA} is not quasi contractive. This result was then used to show that whenever A is unbounded it is possible to find a bounded (but not necessarily orthogonal) projection P such that (1) does not converge strongly (cf. [5], first part of Theorem 3). The proofs of these results, however, relied heavily on the notion of orthogonality. The aim of this paper is to prove the Banach space analogue of these two results (see Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 below).

Date: February 2, 2008.

We are aware that the existence of a 'non-quasi-contractive' norm will probably not have as much use as that of a 'quasi-contractive' one. Nevertheless, it gives an affirmative answer to a natural question, and shows that whenever A is unbounded it is up to our choice whether to regard e^{tA} as being quasi-contractive or non-quasi-contractive.

As the motivation to tackle the questions above came from the investigations of the convergence of formula (1), we mention that the history of this formula goes back to [3], [2], [4] and [1]. The interested reader can also find a brief overview and some recent results in [6] and [5]. Thus far, most of the attention concerning formula (1) has been devoted to the Hilbert space case, but Theorem 2.1 below shows that some of the results remain true in the most general settings.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In the Banach space setting we reverse the steps of [5]. First we characterize the convergence of (1) in terms of the generator A , and then we use this result to construct an equivalent norm with respect to which the semigroup e^{tA} is not quasi-contractive.

Theorem 2.1. *Let A generate a C_0 -semigroup e^{tA} on a complex Banach space X . The following are equivalent:*

- (i) A is bounded
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e^{\frac{t}{n}A} P)^n x$ exists for all bounded projection P , and all $x \in X$, $t \geq 0$.

Proof. The implication (i) $\rightarrow$ (ii) is fairly standard and contained in [6], Theorem 1.

For the implication (ii) $\rightarrow$ (i) assume that A is unbounded. Then, there exists an element $\phi \in X^*$ such that $\phi \notin \text{Dom } A^*$. $\text{Ker } \phi$, the kernel of ϕ , is a 1-codimensional subspace of X . As $\text{Dom } A$ is dense in X , $\text{Dom } A \not\subset \text{Ker } \phi$. Therefore, we can choose a vector $x \in \text{Dom } A$ such that $\phi(x) = 1$. Let P_x denote the projection along $\text{Ker } \phi$ onto the 1-dimensional subspace spanned by x ; i.e. we decompose each element $z \in X$ as $z = \phi(z)x + (z - \phi(z)x)$ and we let $P_x z = \phi(z)x$. Note that $\phi(P_x z) = \phi(z)$. Therefore,

$$(2) \quad \phi((e^{\frac{1}{n}A} P_x)^n x) = \phi((P_x e^{\frac{1}{n}A} P_x)^n x)$$

Now, observe that $(P_x e^{\frac{1}{n}A} P_x)x = c_n x$ where $c_n = \phi(e^{\frac{1}{n}A} x)$. Therefore,

$$(3) \quad \phi((P_x e^{\frac{1}{n}A} P_x)^n x) = \phi(c_n^n x) = c_n^n = (\phi(e^{\frac{1}{n}A} x))^n$$

Furthermore,

$$n(c_n - 1) = \phi \left(\frac{e^{\frac{1}{n}A}x - x}{1/n} \right) = \phi \left(\frac{(e^{\frac{1}{n}A} - I)x}{1/n} \right)$$

therefore

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(c_n - 1) = \phi(Ax)$$

Combining (2) , (3) and (4) we get

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi((e^{\frac{1}{n}A}P_x)^n x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n^n = e^{\phi(Ax)}$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the Hilbert space version (see [5]).

We are going to construct an element $y \in X$ such that $\phi(y) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi((e^{\frac{1}{n}A}P_y)^n y)$ does not exist. The vector y will be given as $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_k$ where the sequence (x_k) is to be constructed in the sequel.

We would like to choose x_0 so that $x_0 \in \text{Dom } A$, $\phi(x_0) = 1$ and $\text{Re } \phi(Ax_0) \geq 0$ holds. To do this we take a vector z such that $z \in \text{Dom}(A)$, $\phi(z) = 1$, and we are looking for x_0 in a small neighbourhood of z . As $\phi \notin \text{Dom } A^*$ we can find a vector $v \in \text{Dom } A$ such that $\|v\| \leq \frac{1}{2\|\phi\|}$ and $|\phi(Av)| \geq 4|\phi(Az)|$. Now, let $v' := e^{i\alpha}v$ with suitable α such that $\phi(Av')$ is nonnegative real. Let $x_0 := \frac{z+v'}{\phi(z+v')}$. Then $x_0 \in \text{Dom } A$, $\phi(x_0) = 1$ and $\text{Re } \phi(Ax_0) = \text{Re}(\frac{1}{1+\phi(v')} \phi(Az)) + \text{Re}(\frac{1}{1+\phi(v')} \phi(Av')) \geq -2|\phi(Az)| + \frac{1}{2}\phi(Av') \geq 0$ as desired.

We know that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi((e^{\frac{1}{n}A}P_{x_0})^n x_0) = e^{\phi(Ax_0)}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be fixed. Take an index n_0 so large that $|\phi((e^{\frac{1}{n_0}A}P_{x_0})^{n_0} x_0) - e^{\phi(Ax_0)}| < \epsilon$. It is clear from standard continuity arguments that there exists a radius $\delta_0 > 0$ such that the conditions $h \in B(x_0, \delta_0)$ and $\phi(h) = 1$ together imply that $|\phi((e^{\frac{1}{n_0}A}P_h)^{n_0} h) - e^{\phi(Ax_0)}| < 2\epsilon$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\delta_0 < \frac{\|x_0\|}{2}$.

We are going to construct the sequence (x_k) inductively. Assume, therefore, that vectors $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k$, positive numbers $\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k$ and indices $n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k$ are already given such that for all $0 \leq j \leq k$ the following hold:

$x_j \in \text{Dom } A$, $\phi(x_j) = 1$, $\text{Re } \phi(Ax_j) \geq j$ and $|\phi((e^{\frac{1}{n_j}A}P_h)^{n_j} h) - e^{\phi(Ax_j)}| < 2\epsilon$ for all vectors h satisfying $h \in B(x_j, \delta_j)$ and $\phi(h) = 1$.

Assume, furthermore, that $\|x_{j+1} - x_j\| < \min\{\frac{\delta_0}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{\delta_1}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{\delta_j}{2}\}$ for all $0 \leq j \leq k-1$.

Clearly, there exists a (sufficiently small) radius $\gamma_k > 0$ such that for all $g \in B(0, \gamma_k)$ we have $\frac{x_k+g}{\phi(x_k+g)} \in B(x_k, \delta)$, where $\delta := \min\{\frac{\delta_0}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{\delta_1}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{\delta_k}{2}\}$. Now, the construction of x_{k+1} from the given vector x_k goes the same

way as the construction above of x_0 from the given vector z ; using that $\phi \notin \text{Dom } A^*$ we find an appropriate vector $g \in \text{Dom } A$, $\|g\| < \gamma_k$ such that $\phi(Ag)$ is positive and 'large', assuring that the definition $x_{k+1} := \frac{x_k + g}{\phi(x_k + g)}$ gives $\text{Re } \phi(Ax_{k+1}) \geq k + 1$. Note, also, that $x_{k+1} \in B(x_k, \delta)$ because $\|g\| < \gamma_k$. Finally, the index n_{k+1} and the radius δ_{k+1} are chosen to correspond to the vector x_{k+1} , so that $|\phi((e^{\frac{1}{n_{k+1}}A} P_h)^{n_{k+1}} h) - e^{\phi(Ax_{k+1})}| < 2\epsilon$ holds for all vectors h satisfying $h \in B(x_{k+1}, \delta_{k+1})$ and $\phi(h) = 1$.

It is clear, by construction, that the sequence (x_k) converges in X . For $y := \lim x_k$ we have $\phi(y) = 1$ (and $\|y\| \geq \frac{\|x_0\|}{2}$ by the choice of δ_0). It is also clear, by construction, that $y \in B(x_k, \delta_k)$ for all $k \geq 0$. Hence, for all $k \geq 0$ we have

$$|\phi((e^{\frac{1}{n_k}A} P_y)^{n_k} y) - e^{\phi(Ax_k)}| < 2\epsilon$$

Notice that $|e^{\phi(Ax_k)}| = e^{\text{Re } \phi(Ax_k)} \geq e^k$. This means that the sequence $(e^{\frac{1}{n}A} P_y)^n y$ does not converge (even weakly). $\square$

From this result the existence of a 'non-quasi-contractive' norm follows easily.

Corollary 2.2. *Let A generate a C_0 -semigroup e^{tA} on a complex Banach space X . The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *A is bounded*
- (ii) *the semigroup e^{tA} is quasi-contractive with respect to every equivalent norm on X .*

Proof. The implication (i) $\rightarrow$ (ii) is obvious.

For the implication (ii) $\rightarrow$ (i) assume that A is not bounded. By the proof of the preceding theorem we can find vectors $\phi \in X^*$, $y \in X$ such that $\phi((e^{\frac{1}{n}A} P_y)^n y)$ does not converge. Moreover, for the subsequence n_k above we have $|\phi((e^{\frac{1}{n_k}A} P_y)^{n_k} y)| \geq e^k - 2\epsilon$.

Introduce a new norm $\|\cdot\|_0$ on X by $\|z\|_0 := \|P_y z\| + \|(I - P_y)z\|$. It is clear that the norms $\|\cdot\|_0$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are equivalent, and P_y is contractive with respect to $\|\cdot\|_0$. We claim, that e^{tA} is not quasi-contractive with respect to $\|\cdot\|_0$. Indeed, assume, by contradiction, that there exists a $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|e^{tA}\|_0 \leq e^{\lambda t}$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then $|\phi((e^{\frac{1}{n_k}A} P_y)^{n_k} y)| \leq \|\phi\| \cdot \|y\| \cdot e^{\lambda}$, a contradiction. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Arendt and C. Batty, *Absorbtion semigroups and Dirichlet boundary conditions*, Math. Ann., **295** (1993), 427-448.
- [2] E.B. Davies, *Quantum theory of open systems*, Academic Press, London, 1976.

- [3] C.N. Friedman, *Semigroup product formulas, compressions, and continual observations in quantum mechanics*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **21** (1971/72), 1001–1011
- [4] T. Kato, *Trotter's product formula for an arbitrary pair of self-adjoint contraction semigroups*, in "Topics in Functional Analysis", I. Gohlbarg, M. Kac (eds.) Academic Press, New York. 185-195 (1978).
- [5] M. Matolcsi, *On the relation of closed forms and Trotter's product formula*, J.Funct. Anal., **205** (2003), 401-413.
- [6] M. Matolcsi and R. Shvidkoy, *Trotter's product formula for projections*, Arch. Math., **81** (2003), 309-317.

E-mail address: matomate@renyi.hu

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES POB 127 H-1364 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY TEL: (+361) 483-8302 FAX: (+361) 483-8333